

1 **LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP**
Adam M. Apton (SBN 316506)
2 1160 Battery Street East, Suite 100
3 San Francisco, CA 94111
4 Tel.: (415) 373-1671
Email: aapton@zlk.com

5 *Counsel for Plaintiff*

6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9
10 RICCIO LIBERATO, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13
14 GROCERY OUTLET HOLDING CORP.,
ROBERT JOSEPH SHEEDY,
15 and CHARLES C. BRACHER,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-00957

CLASS ACTION

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiff Riccio Liberato (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other persons
2 similarly situated, by his undersigned attorneys, alleges in this Complaint for violations of the
3 federal securities laws (the “Complaint”) the following based upon knowledge with respect to his
4 own acts, and upon facts obtained through an investigation conducted by his counsel, which
5 included, *inter alia*: (a) review and analysis of relevant filings made by Grocery Outlet Holding
6 Corp. (“Grocery Outlet” or the “Company”) with the United States Securities and Exchange
7 Commission (the “SEC”); (b) review and analysis of Grocery Outlet’s public documents,
8 conference calls, press releases, and stock chart; (c) review and analysis of securities analysts’
9 reports and advisories concerning the Company; and (d) information readily obtainable on the
10 internet.

11 Plaintiff believes that further substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations
12 set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery. Most of the facts supporting the
13 allegations contained herein are known only to the defendants or are exclusively within their
14 control.

15 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

16 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of all investors who purchased or
17 otherwise acquired Grocery Outlet securities between November 7, 2023, to May 7, 2024,
18 inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to recover damages caused by Defendants’ violations of
19 the federal securities laws (the “Class”).

20 2. Defendants provided investors with material information concerning Grocery
21 Outlet’s expected profit for fiscal year 2024. Defendants’ statements included, among other things,
22 confidence in the Grocery Outlet’s ability to successfully upgrade and transition the Company’s
23 internal systems in a timely and effective manner, while minimizing the risks associated with the
24 ongoing setbacks the Company was experiencing with regard to the transition.

25 3. Defendants provided these overwhelmingly positive statements to investors while,
26 at the same time, disseminating materially false and misleading statements and/or concealing
27 material adverse facts concerning the true state of Grocery Outlet’s transition to new and upgraded
28

1 systems; notably, that the Company was either not truly equipped to timely and effectively execute
2 on the transition or otherwise failed to disclose the potential for significant setbacks to Grocery
3 Outlet's profitability as a result of delays and implementation issues which impacted the
4 Company's visibility and performance. Such statements absent these material facts caused Plaintiff
5 and other shareholders to purchase Grocery Outlet's securities at artificially inflated prices.

6 4. After-market on May 7, 2024, Grocery Outlet announced its financial results for
7 the first quarter of fiscal 2024, published significantly below-expectation guidance for the second
8 quarter, and further reduced its guidance for the full fiscal year 2024. The Company attributed its
9 results and lowered guidance on "unforeseen systems transition costs that surfaced at the end of
10 the quarter" and the resulting "residual expense from our commission support program as we finish
11 store physical inventory counts in the second quarter."

12 5. Investors and analysts reacted immediately to Grocery Outlet's revelation. The
13 price of Grocery Outlet's common stock declined dramatically. From a closing market price of
14 \$25.90 per share on May 7, 2024, Grocery Outlet's stock price fell to \$20.88 per share on May 8,
15 2024, a decline of about 19.38% in the span of just a single day.

16 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17 6. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated
18 investors, to recover losses sustained in connection with Defendants' fraud.

19 7. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a) of the
20 Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the
21 SEC (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).

22 8. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28
23 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1337, and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §78aa.

24 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act and 28 U.S.C.
25 §1391(b), as Defendant Grocery Outlet is headquartered in this District and a significant portion
26 of its business, actions, and the subsequent damages to Plaintiff and the Class, took place within
27 this District.

1 10. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint,
2 Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce,
3 including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate telephone communications and the
4 facilities of the national securities exchange.

5 **THE PARTIES**

6 11. Plaintiff purchased Grocery Outlet common stock at artificially inflated prices
7 during the Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the Defendants’ fraud. Plaintiff’s
8 certification evidencing his transaction(s) in Grocery Outlet is attached hereto.

9 12. Grocery Outlet Holding Corp. is a California corporation with its principal
10 executive offices located at 5650 Hollis Street, Emeryville, CA 94608. During the Class Period,
11 the Company’s common stock traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market (the “NASDAQ”) under the
12 symbol “GO.”

13 13. Defendant Robert Joseph Sheedy (“Sheedy”) was, at all relevant times, the
14 President, Chief Executive Officer, and a Director of Grocery Outlet. Defendant Sheedy resigned
15 from Grocery Outlet on October 30, 2024, after the occurrence of all events contemplated herein.

16 14. Defendant Charles C. Bracher (“Bracher”) was, at all relevant times until March 1,
17 2024, the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Grocery Outlet.

18 15. Defendants Sheedy and Bracher are sometimes referred to herein as the “Individual
19 Defendants.” Grocery Outlet together with the Individual Defendants are referred to herein as the
20 “Defendants.”

21 16. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions with the Company, possessed
22 the power and authority to control the contents of Grocery Outlet’s reports to the SEC, press
23 releases, and presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers, and institutional
24 investors, *i.e.*, the market. Each Individual Defendant was provided with copies of the Company’s
25 reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance
26 and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because
27 of their positions and access to material non-public information n available to them, each of these

1 Individual Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and
2 were being concealed from, the public, and that the positive representations which were being
3 made were then materially false and/or misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the
4 false statements pleaded herein, as those statements were each “group-published” information, the
5 result of the collective actions of the Individual Defendants.

6 17. Grocery Outlet is liable for the acts of the Individual Defendants, and its employees
7 under the doctrine of respondeat superior and common law principles of agency as all the wrongful
8 acts complained of herein were carried out within the scope of their employment with
9 authorization.

10 18. The scienter of the Individual Defendants, and other employees and agents of the
11 Company are similarly imputed to Grocery Outlet under respondeat superior and agency
12 principles.

13 **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

14 **A. Company Background**

15 19. Grocery Outlet is a value retailer of consumables and fresh products sold via a
16 network of independently operated stores across the United States

17 20. More specifically, Grocery Outlet has stores in California, Washington, Oregon,
18 Pennsylvania, Idaho, Nevada, Maryland, New Jersey, and Ohio.

19 **B. The Defendants Materially Misled Investors Concerning Grocery Outlet’s** 20 **Ability to Implement Transitions to Upgraded Systems**

21 November 7, 2023

22 21. On November 7, 2023, Defendants conducted an earnings call corresponding to
23 their third quarter fiscal year 2023 results. During the call, Defendant Sheedy disclosed an ongoing
24 systems transition (the “Systems Transition”) that began in August and would be completed by
25 years’ end, stating in pertinent part:

26 While pleased with our third quarter performance, *we experienced operational*
27 *disruptions as we transition to upgraded systems*. On prior calls, we have
28 discussed our approach and history of investing in modernizing systems to improve
capabilities and drive efficiency. *We began to implement our most recent*

1 *enhancements in late August, which include upgrades to product, inventory,*
2 *financial and reporting platforms.* One important component of this upgrade is a
3 new store portal that will provide operators with improved data to make better
4 purchasing, merchandising and marketing decisions. We are excited for the
5 improved functionality, scalability and data analytics that this and other
6 enhancements will provide. *The transition to these new systems has resulted in*
7 *ordering and inventory disruptions that have impacted third and fourth quarter*
8 *results.*

9 *We have been partnering closely with our independent operators to minimize the*
10 *impact to customers and sales.* We have also elected to provide commission
11 support to our operators as we continue to make steady progress adapting to the
12 new systems. *We anticipate the transitional impact to be largely behind us by the*
13 *end of the year.* Charles will provide more details in his commentary.

14 (Emphasis added).

15 22. CFO Bracher spoke further as to the negative financial impact caused by the
16 Systems Transition, stating, in pertinent part, the following:

17 Our third quarter results reflect the continued momentum we are seeing in our
18 business, which drove strong comparable store sales growth and margin expansion.
19 For the quarter, net sales increased 9.3% to \$1 billion, primarily due to a 6.4%
20 increase in comparable store sales and the impact of new stores opened over the
21 past 12 months. *Our system upgrades impacted comparable store sales by an*
22 *estimated 150 basis points as comps were running in the high single digits before*
23 *the transition.*

24 ...

25 While our underlying business remains strong, *we do expect the system transition*
26 *to significantly impact financial results in the fourth quarter and to a greater*
27 *degree than the third quarter, given the additional months affected.*

28 (Emphasis added).

29 23. The question-and-answer portion of the call followed, during which Defendants
30 spoke further as to the duration and significance of the impact on the Company's finances from
31 the Systems Transition, pertinently engaging in the following exchanges:

32 <Q: Leah Dianne Jordan – Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. – Research Analyst> I just
33 wanted to start off with a couple of questions around the new platforms you
34 implemented this quarter. What has been the biggest challenge related to the
35 ordering? Is it just learning the new program? Is there anything specific about the
36 functionality that surprised you?

1 *And then you also said the disruption would be largely behind us by year-end.*
2 *But how should we think about any impact into the first half of next year,*
3 *especially any cost that we should think about annualizing?* And then also, you
4 mentioned that would bring better data analytics. Just curious, how quickly you
5 think you can implement those learnings? Or have you any insights to share in just
6 the first few months?

7 <A: Robert Joseph Sheedy> Leah, I'll take the first couple of parts of that question,
8 and then I'll turn it over to Charles to address your question around impact into
9 2024. First, just some more information on what I shared in my comments. *We did*
10 *upgrade a number of systems at the end of August, inclusive of product inventory,*
11 *financial and reporting platforms. These upgrades include replacement of our*
12 *AS400 system, which is our legacy ERP system with SAP, along with other third-*
13 *party plus some new proprietary applications for buying, in-store operations. So*
14 *that was the enhancement that was implemented back a couple of months ago.*

15 ...

16 *In terms of the challenges and the disruption that we face as we transition to the*
17 *new systems, we were challenged with inventory visibility, and the impact here*
18 *was on ordering and inventory management in general.* And unfortunately, this
19 disruption did have an impact throughout the business and the P&L. And notably,
20 as mentioned in our comments, top line sales were impacted by lighter inventory
21 levels, slightly lower variety. We had some pressure on margin as it relates to
22 inventory inefficiencies. And then SG&A was higher as well as we elected to
23 provide operators with support.

24 *I'll say that we did expect some disruption during this transition. It was factored*
25 *into our previous guidance, just not to the degree that we've been experiencing*
26 *it. And of course, we're very disappointed with the magnitude of it and we own it.*
27 *We own where we are. And at this point, we have addressed the inventory visibility*
28 *issues, along with other issues we experienced earlier on. So we've made very*
good progress there. We've also recently returned to more normalized store
ordering practices. We have much healthier warehouse inventory levels and flow
throughout the system. So we're feeling good about all of that.

I'll also say that we are still adapting to other parts of the new systems. We were
working through some of these new processes and working our way back to what I
would describe as more optimal inventory levels and margin management and
therefore, the impact that we anticipate throughout the fourth quarter here.
Nevertheless, we are making good daily progress. And as I mentioned, we do
anticipate the transitional impacts to be largely behind us as we get to the end of
the year. And I'll kick it over to Charles now to comment on 2024.

<A: Charles C. Bracher> Yes. Leah, this is Charles. Just a bit more color on cost
and sort of the cadence as you think about the quarterly impact going into next year.
So as it relates to the third quarter, again, think of this as being 1 month of impact

1 to the fiscal quarter. *And so as RJ mentioned, we felt both the top line and a*
2 *margin impact in Q3, along with elective commission support. You see the same*
3 *impacts in the fourth quarter, just to a greater degree as we're feeling the kind of*
4 *full 3-month impact, if you will, in the fourth quarter.*

5 *We do expect that as we -- over the course of the fourth quarter, all of those*
6 *impacts moderate. And as disappointed as we are with the magnitude of the*
7 *impact in the fourth quarter, we do expect and believe that it will be largely*
8 *behind us by the end of the year.* And so our view at this point is we will enter the
9 new year without any lingering cost impacts or otherwise related to the transition.

10 ...

11 <Q: Oliver Chen – TD Cowen – MD & Senior Equity Research Analyst> Charles,
12 regarding your comp guidance, is your expectation that traffic continues to be very
13 positive, offset by average unit retail? What should we think about in terms of
14 average unit retails near and longer term? And as we appreciate a lot of the good
15 changes on the new store portal. *The issues you had, just what gives you*
16 *confidence that they'll be largely behind by end of year?*

17 ...

18 <A: Charles C. Bracher> As it relates to the comp headwind as a result of the
19 systems transition, that really did impact ticket. So [Ring], as you saw, was down
20 a little less than 2% for the quarter, really coming from lower units. And that's both
21 as a result of higher trip frequency. But yes, lighter inventory levels and variety is
22 a result of the system transition.

23 ...

24 <A: Robert Joseph Sheedy> And on the -- your question around the systems
25 upgrades, Oliver, as I mentioned before, *we have addressed the bigger issues that*
26 *we faced earlier on around inventory issue -- around inventory visibility issues as*
27 *well as product flow challenges, both into the warehouse and also from the*
28 *warehouse to the stores.* So that progress feels really good. And as a result, we
have returned to more, I'll call them, more normalized store ordering practices. And
then together with that, healthier warehouse and store inventory levels at a really
important time. So feeling good about the progress that we've made in support of
the holiday shopping period that we're in the middle of right now.

We are still adapting to other parts of the new systems. There are a lot of new
processes, new functionality that comes with these new systems. And then together
with that, working our way back to what we would consider to be optimized
inventory levels along with how we manage the business and total margin and
operations included. *Given the progress that we've made and the daily progress*
that we continue to make, we do feel confident in being able to resolve these

1 *outstanding issues to the point where the impact is then largely behind us by the*
2 *end of the year.*

3 ...

4 <Q: Krisztina Katai> So RJ, I just wanted to follow up on the system upgrades. I
5 mean, it does sound like you anticipated some disruption but then it has actually
6 come in well ahead of that. Like, one, is that a fair characterization? And then two,
7 is it fair to say that this doesn't alter any of the margin structure into next year or
8 the long term? And you'll essentially be able to fully recapture all of the margin
9 pressures once you lap the third and the fourth quarters?

10 <A: Robert Joseph Sheedy> The -- yes, for your first question, that is a fair
11 characterization. We did expect -- this is a big transition from a legacy platform
12 that we've been operating on for the past several decades. So -- and it's something
13 that we've been working on for a couple of years now. *So we've always known how*
14 *large it was and complex. We did expect as a result, some disruption, certainly*
15 *not to this degree or this magnitude.* So I think you characterized it well.

16 And then in terms of impact looking forward, and I think similar to the earlier
17 question, we -- as I said, *we do the transitional impact to be contained to this year,*
18 *from what we've already experienced in the third quarter. And then largely*
19 *behind us at the end of the year.* So you should think about us reverting back to
20 previous performance and all the things that we've always talked about related to
21 consumer trends, top line sales and how we've managed for both gross margin and
22 bottom-line profit.

23 (Emphasis added).

24 December 11, 2023

25 24. On December 11, 2023, Defendants issued a press release, announcing Defendant
26 Charles Bracher “informed the company of his intention to step down as Chief Financial Officer,
27 effective as of March 1, 2024.”

28 25. The press release further highlighted that the company “also reaffirmed its outlook
for the fourth quarter and guidance for fiscal 2023, as provided on November 7, 2023.”

February 27, 2024

29 26. On February 27, 2024, Defendants issued a press release announcing Grocery
30 Outlet’s fourth quarter fiscal year 2023 results. In pertinent part, the release highlighted the issues
31 related to the Systems Transition as follows:

1 Highlights for Fourth Quarter Fiscal 2023 as compared to Fourth Quarter Fiscal
2022:

2 ...

- 3 • As previously disclosed, the Company experienced disruptions as a
4 result of the implementation of new technological platforms in late
5 August 2023. Such disruptions are estimated to have negatively
6 impacted comparable store sales by approximately 200 basis p2oints
7 and gross margin by 130 basis points in the fourth quarter

6 ...

7 Highlights for Fiscal 2023 as compared to Fiscal 2022:

8 ...

- 9 • Disruptions as a result of the implementation of the new technology
10 platforms in late August 2023⁴ are estimated to have negatively
11 impacted comparable store sales by approximately 90 basis points and
12 gross margin by 50 basis points in fiscal 2023.

11 27. During the company's same-day earnings call, Defendants spoke to the progress
12 surrounding the Systems Transition, pertinently stating as follows:

13 *We have made steady progress with our systems implementation work, though*
14 *data integration efforts are taking longer than expected and are still impacting*
15 *our business results. The largest remaining issues are related to warehouse*
16 *product expiry data and store level reporting for IOs. We expect these to be*
17 *resolved soon, after which the P&L impact will be behind.* Charles will provide
18 more details in his comments.

17 ...

18 We estimate the system transition impacted comp sales by approximately 200 basis
19 points for the quarter. We opened 13 new stores during the quarter, including 7 in
20 the East and 1 in Southern California, ending the year with 468 locations. We
21 remain pleased with the performance of our new stores, which are ramping in line
22 with our expectations.

23 Gross profit increased 6.3% to \$298.9 million, representing a 30.2% gross margin
24 rate, slightly better than our expectations. We continue to experience healthy deal
25 flow, which helped offset the margin impact of our system integration which we
26 estimate was approximately 130 basis points in the quarter.

25 ...

26 Now let me provide you with some commentary on our fiscal 2024 guidance, which
27 includes the impact of the United Grocery Outlet acquisition and the system
28 transition . . . *With respect to our system transition, while we have made progress,*

1 *the data integration efforts have taken longer than anticipated. Because of this,*
2 *we expect we'll continue to experience P&L impacts during the first quarter.*

3 With that as background, let me provide you with our expectations for fiscal 2024.
4 For the full year, we are projecting comp sales growth in the range of 3% to 4%. *We*
5 *expect comp growth in the first quarter to be approximately 2%, which includes*
6 *an estimated 50-basis-point impact from the system transition*

7 . . .

8 *We expect gross margin for the first quarter of approximately 30.4%, which*
9 *includes an estimated 100-basis-point impact from the system transition*

10 (Emphasis added).

11 28. During the question-and-answer portion of the call, Defendant Bracher directly
12 addressed an inquiry regarding the Company's effectiveness in handling the Systems Transition
13 during the following pertinent exchange:

14 <Q: Leah Dianne Jordan – Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. – Research Analyst> I just
15 wanted to touch on the 4Q comp a little bit. It looks like the headwind from the
16 systems change came in about 100 basis points better than you initially guided, but
17 less than that flowed through to the total comp. So I guess what surprised you versus
18 your internal expectations for the core business? And can you talk about just the
19 dynamics in ticket overall and how volumes trended as the ERP rollout improved.

20 <A: Charles C. Bracher> Yes. So let me start first in terms of overall comp for --
21 again 2.7% for us in the quarter, a bit better than we guided. It was a combination
22 of slightly higher *basket. So think about that as being the inventory recovery*
23 *coming out -- working through the system implementation was a bit faster than*
24 *we thought so that helped basket.* And supplementing that traffic was a little bit
25 better. In terms of cadence throughout the quarter, we saw comps improve modestly
26 as we progressed. More importantly, we're tracking very closely the prior year
27 comparisons get a little bit noisy now. We're looking at average weekly sales into
28 some nice improvement through the fourth quarter, that has continued into the first
29 quarter. *So I feel like the underlying trends in the business are healthy and*
30 *improving as we again work our way through the system transition.*

31 (Emphasis added).

32 29. The following day, Grocery Outlet filed its annual 10-K regarding their fiscal year
33 2023 results. In pertinent part, Defendants discussed the Systems Transition as follows:

34 The ongoing modernization, enhancement and maintenance of our information
35 systems have allowed us to support the growth in our business and store base. We
36 have modernized and added several systems that provide us additional functionality

1 and scalability in order to better support operational decision-making, including
2 enhanced point of sale, warehouse management, human resource planning,
3 business intelligence, vendor tracking and lead management, store
communications, real estate lease management and financial planning and analysis
4 systems.

5 We modify, update and replace our systems and infrastructure from time to time,
6 including by adding new hardware, software and applications; maintaining,
7 updating or replacing legacy programs; converting to enhanced systems;
8 integrating new service providers; and adding enhanced new functionality, such as
9 cloud computing technologies. *In addition, we have a customized enterprise
10 resource planning system, components of which have been replaced over the past
11 several years, including our financial ledger, inventory management platform
12 and product data warehouse system, which were replaced in late August 2023. As
13 previously disclosed, the implementation of these system upgrades resulted in
14 ordering and inventory disruptions, which impacted our results of operations
15 during the remainder of fiscal 2023.* We also will continue to identify and
16 implement productivity improvements through both operational initiatives and
17 system enhancements, such as category assortment optimization, improved
18 inventory management tools and greater purchasing specialization.

19 ...

20 We rely on our distribution, transportation and technology network and systems to
21 provide goods to our distribution centers and stores in a timely and cost-effective
22 manner. Our stores are highly dependent on the successful operations of our
23 distribution, transportation and technology networks, as IOs use these systems to
24 order multiple deliveries per week and many of our products have a limited shelf
25 life from the time of purchase, particularly opportunistic buys and fresh foods.
26 Deliveries to our stores occur from our distribution centers or directly from our
27 suppliers. We use three primary leased distribution centers that we operate and five
28 primary distribution centers operated by third-parties. Any disruption,
unanticipated or unusual expense or operational failure related to these processes
and systems could affect store operations negatively. For example, *during fiscal
2023 we replaced components of our enterprise resource planning system,
including our financial ledger, inventory management platform and product data
warehouse system. The implementation of these system upgrades resulted in
ordering and inventory disruptions beginning in late August 2023, which resulted
in reduced net sales and gross margin.*

...

We modify, update and replace our systems and infrastructure from time to time,
including by adding new hardware, software and applications; maintaining,
updating or replacing legacy programs; converting to global systems; integrating
new service providers; and adding enhanced or new functionality, such as cloud
computing technologies. *In late August 2023, we replaced components of our*

1 *enterprise resource planning system, including our financial ledger, inventory*
2 *management platform and product data warehouse system. The implementation*
3 *of these system upgrades resulted in more than anticipated ordering and*
4 *inventory disruptions during the remainder of fiscal 2023.* These disruptions are
5 estimated to have negatively impacted comparable store sales by approximately 90
6 basis points and gross margin by 50 basis points in fiscal 2023.

7 ...

8 Further, the time and resources required to implement or optimize the benefits of
9 new technology initiatives, or potential issues that arise in implementing such
10 initiatives, could reduce the efficiency of our operations in the short term. *The*
11 *efficient operation and successful growth of our business depends upon our*
12 *information systems, including our ability to operate, maintain and develop them*
13 *effectively. A failure of those systems could disrupt our business, subject us to*
14 *liability, damage our reputation, or otherwise impact our financial results.*

15 ...

16 The Company's management conducted an assessment of the Company's internal
17 control over financial reporting based on the framework established by the
18 Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal
19 Control - Integrated Framework (2013). In connection with this assessment, the
20 Company's management identified deficiencies in the design and operating
21 effectiveness of controls in its internal control over financial reporting related to
22 certain information technology general computer controls ("ITGC's"). *The*
23 *replacement of components of our enterprise resource planning system in late*
24 *August 2023 led to a significant increase in the volume of transactions across*
25 *user access, program change management, and IT operations for which our*
26 *existing controls were not designed to address. As a result, certain of the*
27 *Company's related business controls that are dependent upon the affected*
28 *ITGC's were also deemed ineffective.* We did not identify any misstatements to the
consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 30, 2023
because of these control deficiencies. However, *the pervasive impact of these*
control deficiencies to the Company's internal control over financial reporting
created a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement to the consolidated
financial statements would not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.
Therefore, we concluded that the deficiencies represent a material weakness in
our internal control over financial reporting and, as such, our internal control
over financial reporting was not effective as of December 30, 2023.

(Emphasis added).

30. The above statements in Paragraphs 21 to 29 were false and/or materially
misleading. Defendants created the false impression that they possessed reliable information
pertaining to the completion of Grocery Outlet's System Transition and any potential negative

1 impact resulting therefrom, while also minimizing the risks associated with potential and perceived
2 setbacks to the Company's finances as a result of implementation errors and other issues
3 surrounding the System Transition. In truth, Defendants' repeated indications as to both when the
4 Systems Transition would be completed and how significantly it would impact Grocery Outlet's
5 sales and margins fell short of reality as the Defendants relied far too heavily on their ability to
6 implement these new or otherwise upgraded systems without significant setbacks to the
7 Company's finances. Grocery Outlet was simply not equipped to properly execute on the transition
8 as planned and communicated to investors, despite repeated assurances that the issues would be
9 resolved and the transition completed with each coming quarter.

10 **C. The Truth Emerges during Grocery Outlet's First Quarter Fiscal 2024**
11 **Earnings Report**

12 May 7, 2024

13 31. On May 7, 2024, Defendants released their Q1FY24 results, which surprisingly
14 announced both a significantly larger-than-expected impact from Systems Transition issues and
15 significantly below-market guidance for the second quarter. In pertinent part, Defendants' press
16 release provided the following:

17 Highlights for First Quarter Fiscal 2024 as compared to First Quarter Fiscal 2023:

18 . . .

- 19 • Gross margin decreased 180 basis points to 29.3%. As previously
20 disclosed, the Company experienced disruptions as a result of the
21 implementation of new technology platforms in late August 2023. Such
22 disruptions are estimated to have negatively impacted gross margin by
23 210 basis points in the first quarter.
- 24 • Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 13.3% to
25 \$303.4 million, or 29.3% of net sales. This includes \$12.4 million of
26 commission support we elected to provide our operators in connection
27 with our system upgrades.

28 32. During the same-day earnings call, Defendants outlined the setbacks and reduced
guidance, in pertinent part, as follows:

Our low first quarter margins were the result of ***both expected and unexpected impacts from our systems transition***. We've made good progress since our last call, resolving known issues and have ended the IO commission support program as planned. ***However, our results were incrementally impacted by unforeseen***

1 *systems transition costs that surfaced at the end of the quarter. We are all very*
2 *disappointed with our poor Q1 results, and we are committed to getting these*
3 *system impacts behind us very soon.*

4 ...

5 First quarter gross margin of 29.3% was *110 basis points below our expectations*
6 *and includes approximately 210 basis points of impact from our systems*
7 *transition issues*. In late August, we upgraded our product, inventory, financial and
8 reporting platforms. *This transition has disrupted our business operationally and*
9 *financially over the past 8 months* as we discussed on our last 2 calls. *In February,*
10 *there were 2 large remaining system issues impacting profit. One was related to*
11 *warehouse product expiry data and the other related to store level reporting. We*
12 *have since resolved both of these and the negative impact to first quarter gross*
13 *margin came in as expected at about 100 basis points.*

14 We've reduced warehouse shrink close to normal levels with better data visibility
15 and accurate store-level reporting enabled us to end the commission support
16 program in March. Lindsay will speak later about some residual expense from the
17 commission support program that will extend through the end of the second quarter.
18 *While we are encouraged by this progress, we are disappointed that we did not*
19 *foresee the additional 110 basis points of margin impact. This was quantified*
20 *during catch-up invoice processing and final margin reconciliation at the end of*
21 *the quarter. Delayed payment processes during Q1, combined with poor data*
22 *visibility, contributed to this miss versus guidance. We are disappointed by this as*
23 *it is below our performance and forecasting standards.*

24 We have recently improved our payables process in the new system and have also
25 increased our data visibility. Both of these improvements will enable us to manage
26 the business back to historical margin levels and forecast with the same consistency
27 as we did before.

28 ...

Now on to guidance. Forecasting has been difficult during the system transition as
we have not had good visibility to our normal business reporting and tools.
Compounding this have been data integration issues and new processes that we and
our operators are adapting to within new applications. Our guidance takes us into
consideration as we complete final stages of our stabilization work.

Our fiscal 2024 guidance continues to assume incremental sales of approximately
\$125 million, adjusted EBITDA of \$7 million and a modest benefit to adjusted EPS
from the acquisition of UGO. For the full year, we are now projecting comp sales
growth in the range of 3.5% to 4.5%, up from 3% to 4% to reflect better-than-
expected first quarter sales. We expect comp growth in the second quarter to be
approximately 3.2%, which reflects a 100 basis points Easter shift out of Q2 into
Q1. We now expect to add a total of 58 to 62 net new stores this year, up from 55

1 to 60. This includes the 40 newly acquired United Grocery Outlet stores as well as
2 18 to 22 new Grocery Outlet stores. In total, we continue to project fiscal 2024 net
3 sales of \$4.3 billion to \$4.35 billion.

4 For the full fiscal year, we now project gross margin of approximately 30.5%. *We*
5 *expect gross margin for the second quarter of approximately 30.0%, which*
6 *includes an estimated 100 basis point impact from the systems transition. This is*
7 *due to residual expense from our commission support program as we finish store*
8 *physical inventory counts in the second quarter.* We expect gross margins to
9 increase sequentially in the back half of the year

10 (Emphasis added).

11 33. The aforementioned press releases and statements made by the Individual
12 Defendants are in direct contrast to statements they made during the alleged false and/or materially
13 misleading statements identified above. In those statements, Defendants continually praised their
14 efforts to upgrade and transition the Company's internal systems and touted the benefits of such
15 new and upgraded systems, while continually minimizing the risks associated with potential and
16 perceived issues surrounding the implementation of its Systems Transition.

17 34. Investors and analysts reacted immediately to Grocery Outlet's revelation. The
18 price of Grocery Outlet's common stock declined dramatically. From a closing market price of
19 \$25.90 per share on May 7, 2024, Grocery Outlet's stock price fell to \$20.88 per share on May 8,
20 2024, a decline of about 19.38% in the span of just a single day.

21 35. A number of well-known analysts who had been following Grocery Outlet lowered
22 their price targets in response to Grocery Outlet's disclosures. For example, Guggenheim, while
23 remaining "neutral" despite cutting estimates, highlighted that "[t]he ERP-related
24 operational/financial disruption, which has dominated the narrative over the past six months,
25 reached a crescendo with the largest 'miss and guide-down' to date driving the shares ~15% lower
26 after-hours." Speaking to Grocery Outlet's overall performance for the quarter and disappointing
27 second quarter guide, the analyst further noted the following:

28 However, adjusted EBITDA missed by \$14 million with margin declining a
greater-than-we-modeled 270 basis points. Reported gross margin eroded by 182
basis points, *a shortfall of more than 100 basis points which was attributed to a*
lack of visibility into margin dynamics. GO's unique, treasure hunt-oriented

1 *procurement model requires informed buying and pricing decisions and this was*
2 *lacking, in our view.*

3 ...

4 The 2Q guide was even more surprising *since we assumed that the transitory costs*
5 *were behind us*. Instead, as detailed in Exhibit 4, management guided to gross and
6 EBITDA margins of 30.0% and 5.4%, respectively, *150-200 basis points below*
7 *our estimates”*

8 (Emphasis added).

9 36. Additionally, Telsey Advisory Group, while cutting their price target, summarized
10 the issues surrounding the Systems Transition in detail, stating in pertinent part:

11 In August 2023, Grocery Outlet started to upgrade its inventory, financial, and
12 reporting systems, but the implementation has taken longer to execute, creating
13 product and data visibility issues as well as operating challenges. In February 2024,
14 the company finally completed the upgrade of its last two remaining systems —
15 warehouse product expiry data and store level reporting. However, execution
16 challenges, physical inventory counting, and invoicing issues caused pressure on
17 the gross margin. Furthermore, Grocery Outlet provided commission support to its
18 independent operators (IOs) during this disruption, which further elevated
19 expenses. Overall, the system transition issue created profit pressure of ~\$65MM
20 over the past year, with half of it being IO commission support

21 37. Similarly, Jefferies, while also cutting their price target, highlighted the impact
22 resulting from Systems Transition in the current quarter as follows:

23 GM came in at ~29.3%, below cons of ~30.4%, due to the new systems integration
24 headwinds. The co. estimated the systems disruption equated to a ~210bps drag on
25 GM in Q1, or ~110bps higher than mgmt's expectations. Looking ahead, GO
26 expects the disruption to continue through Q2; however, noted that critical
27 reporting and visibility functions have been restored, and anticipate a reversion to
28 a more normalized margin level in 2H.

38. The fact that these analysts, and others, discussed Grocery Outlet’s shortfall and
below-expectation projections suggests the public placed significant weight on Grocery Outlet’s
prior revenue, sales, and margin estimates. The frequent, in-depth discussion of Grocery Outlet’s
Systems Transition issues confirms that Defendants’ statements during the Class Period were
material.

D. Loss Causation and Economic Loss

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
39. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and engaged in a scheme to deceive the market and a course of conduct that artificially inflated the price of Grocery Outlet’s common stock and operated as a fraud or deceit on Class Period purchasers of Grocery Outlet’s common stock by materially misleading the investing public. Later, Defendants’ prior misrepresentations and fraudulent conduct became apparent to the market, the price of Grocery Outlet’s common stock materially declined, as the prior artificial inflation came out of the price over time. As a result of their purchases of Grocery Outlet’s common stock during the Class Period, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered economic loss, *i.e.*, damages under federal securities laws.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
40. Grocery Outlet’s stock price fell in response to the corrective event on May 7, 2024, as alleged *supra*. On May 7, 2024, Defendants disclosed information that was directly related to their prior misrepresentations and material omissions concerning Grocery Outlet’s ability to both rectify and avoid future issues associated with the Company’s Systems Transition. Defendants further disclosed information pertaining to Grocery Outlet’s ability, or lack thereof, to complete the transition process so the Company could benefit from the purported advantages associated with the new and upgraded systems.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
41. In particular, on May 7, 2024, Grocery Outlet announced results for the first quarter of fiscal year 2024 below expectations and further reduced their own prior guidance for the entirety of the fiscal year, revealing underwhelming financial results for the second quarter. Pertinently, Grocery Outlet announced additional impacts from Systems Transition issues that more than doubled what the Company had predicted for the second quarter and further announced continued impact leading into the second quarter, despite prior indications and assurances that the Systems Transition would be completed by the end of fiscal 2023, and again by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2024.

E. Presumption of Reliance; Fraud-On-The-Market

1
2
3
42. At all relevant times, the market for Grocery Outlet’s common stock was an efficient market for the following reasons, among others:

4
5
6
7
8
9
(a) Grocery Outlet’s common stock met the requirements for listing and was listed and actively traded on the NASDAQ during the Class Period, a highly efficient and automated market;

10
11
12
13
14
15
(b) Grocery Outlet communicated with public investors via established market communication mechanisms, including disseminations of press releases on the national circuits of major newswire services and other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services;

16
17
18
19
20
21
(c) Grocery Outlet was followed by several securities analysts employed by major brokerage firms who wrote reports that were distributed to the sales force and certain customers of their respective brokerage firms during the Class Period. Each of these reports was publicly available and entered the public marketplace; and

22
23
24
25
26
27
(d) Unexpected material news about Grocery Outlet was reflected in and incorporated into the Company’s stock price during the Class Period.

28
43. As a result of the foregoing, the market for Grocery Outlet’s common stock promptly digested current information regarding the Company from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in Grocery Outlet’s stock price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of Grocery Outlet’s common stock during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of Grocery Outlet’s common stock at artificially inflated prices, and a presumption of reliance applies.

44. Alternatively, reliance need not be proven in this action because the action involves omissions and deficient disclosures. Positive proof of reliance is not a prerequisite to recovery pursuant to ruling of the United States Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128 (1972). All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material in the sense that a reasonable investor might have considered the omitted information important in deciding whether to buy or sell the subject security.

1 **F. No Safe Harbor; Inapplicability of Bespeaks Caution Doctrine**

2 45. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain
3 circumstances does not apply to any of the material misrepresentations and omissions alleged in
4 this Complaint. As alleged above, Defendants’ liability stems from the fact that they provided
5 investors with revenue and margin projections while at the same time failing to maintain adequate
6 forecasting processes due to the ongoing Systems Transition issues. Defendants provided the
7 public with forecasts that failed to account for Grocery Outlet’s inability to timely resolve the
8 Systems Transition issues, successfully implement the upgrades associated therewith, or otherwise
9 predict and/or adequately disclose the forecasting issues that arose due to the Company’s methods
10 of implementation.

11 46. To the extent certain of the statements alleged to be misleading or inaccurate may
12 be characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as “forward-looking statements”
13 when made and there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that
14 could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking
15 statements.

16 47. Defendants are also liable for any false or misleading “forward-looking statements”
17 pleaded because, at the time each “forward-looking statement” was made, the speaker knew the
18 “forward-looking statement” was false or misleading and the “forward-looking statement” was
19 authorized and/or approved by an executive officer of Grocery Outlet who knew that the “forward-
20 looking statement” was false. Alternatively, none of the historic or present-tense statements made
21 by Defendants were assumptions underlying or relating to any plan, projection, or statement of
22 future economic performance, as they were not stated to be such assumptions underlying or
23 relating to any projection or statement of future economic performance when made, nor were any
24 of the projections or forecasts made by the defendants expressly related to or stated to be dependent
25 on those historic or present-tense statements when made.
26
27
28

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

1
2 48. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
3 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise
4 acquired Grocery Outlet’s common stock during the Class Period (the “Class”); and were damaged
5 upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosure. Excluded from the Class are defendants
6 herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate
7 families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which
8 defendants have or had a controlling interest.

9 49. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
10 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Grocery Outlet’s common stock were actively traded
11 on the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time
12 and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are
13 hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of
14 the Class may be identified from records maintained by Grocery Outlet or its transfer agent and
15 may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that
16 customarily used in securities class actions. As of May 2, 2024, there were 99.86 million shares of
17 the Company’s common stock outstanding. Upon information and belief, these shares are held by
18 thousands, if not millions, of individuals located throughout the country and possibly the world.
19 Joinder would be highly impracticable.

20 50. Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all
21 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants’ wrongful conduct in violation of
22 federal law that is complained of herein.

23 51. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class
24 and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has
25 no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.
26
27

1 52. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and
2 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the
3 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

4 (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged
5 herein;

6 (b) whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class
7 Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and management of Grocery
8 Outlet;

9 (c) whether the Individual Defendants caused Grocery Outlet to issue false and
10 misleading financial statements during the Class Period;

11 (d) whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading
12 financial statements;

13 (e) whether the prices of Grocery Outlet's common stock during the Class Period were
14 artificially inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and

15 (f) whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the
16 proper measure of damages.

17 53. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient
18 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the
19 damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden
20 of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the
21 wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

22 **COUNT I**

23 ***Against All Defendants for Violations of***

24 **Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder**

25 54. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully
26 set forth herein.

1 55. This Count is asserted against defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the
2 Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

3 56. During the Class Period, Defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy and
4 course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts, transactions,
5 practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff and the other
6 members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state
7 material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under
8 which they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in
9 connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout
10 the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members,
11 as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Grocery Outlet common
12 stock; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase or otherwise acquire
13 Grocery Outlet's securities at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme,
14 plan and course of conduct, Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

15 57. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each of the
16 defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the quarterly
17 and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described
18 above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to
19 influence the market for Grocery Outlet's securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements
20 were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information
21 and misrepresented the truth about the Company.

22 58. By virtue of their positions at the Company, Defendants had actual knowledge of
23 the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and intended
24 thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, Defendants
25 acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose
26 such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made,
27 although such facts were readily available to Defendants. Said acts and omissions of defendants

1 were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each defendant knew
2 or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described
3 above.

4 59. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard
5 for the truth is peculiarly within defendants' knowledge and control. As the senior managers and/or
6 directors of the Company, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of Grocery
7 Outlet's internal affairs.

8 60. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs
9 complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual
10 Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of the
11 Company. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Individual Defendants had
12 a duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to Grocery Outlet's
13 businesses, operations, future financial condition and future prospects. As a result of the
14 dissemination of the aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public statements,
15 the market price of Grocery Outlet's common stock was artificially inflated throughout the Class
16 Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts concerning the Company which were concealed by
17 Defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Grocery
18 Outlet's common stock at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the common stock,
19 the integrity of the market for the common stock and/or upon statements disseminated by
20 Defendants, and were damaged thereby.

21 61. During the Class Period, Grocery Outlet's common stock was traded on an active
22 and efficient market. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false
23 and misleading statements described herein, which the defendants made, issued or caused to be
24 disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or otherwise acquired shares
25 of Grocery Outlet's common stock at prices artificially inflated by defendants' wrongful conduct.
26 Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased
27 or otherwise acquired said common stock, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired

1 them at the inflated prices that were paid. At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by
2 Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of Grocery Outlet's common stock was substantially lower
3 than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the other members of the Class. The market price of Grocery
4 Outlet's common stock declined sharply upon public disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the
5 injury of Plaintiff and Class members.

6 62. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, Defendants knowingly or recklessly,
7 directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
8 promulgated thereunder.

9 63. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the
10 other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases,
11 acquisitions and sales of the Company's common stock during the Class Period, upon the
12 disclosure that the Company had been disseminating misrepresented financial statements to the
13 investing public.

14 **COUNT II**

15 *Against the Individual Defendants*

16 *for Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act*

17 64. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing
18 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

19 65. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation
20 and management of the Company, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the
21 conduct of the Company's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the
22 adverse non-public information about Grocery Outlet's misstatements.

23 66. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual
24 Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information, and to correct promptly
25 any public statements issued by Grocery Outlet which had become materially false or misleading.

26 67. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual
27 Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and

1 public filings which Grocery Outlet disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period
2 concerning the misrepresentations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants
3 exercised their power and authority to cause Grocery Outlet to engage in the wrongful acts
4 complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were “controlling persons” of the
5 Company within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they
6 participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Grocery
7 Outlet’s common stock.

8 68. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of the
9 Company. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of the Company,
10 each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same
11 to cause Grocery Outlet to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of
12 the Individual Defendants exercised control over the general operations of the Company and
13 possessed the power to control the specific activities which comprise the primary violations about
14 which Plaintiff and the other members of the Class complain.

15 69. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants and/or Grocery Outlet
16 are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by the
17 Company.

18 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demand judgment against defendants as follows:

20 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule
21 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representatives;

22 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason
23 of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

24 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class pre-judgment and post-
25 judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys’ fees, expert fees and other costs; and

26 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
27

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: January 30, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP

/s/ Adam M. Apton

Adam M. Apton (SBN 316506)
1160 Battery Street East, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel.: (415) 373-1671
Email: aapton@zlk.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28